

(This is a copy and paste of an email exchange between myself and Mr. Richardson, Chair of the EID committee)

From: Michael E. Thompson [<mailto:avstarair@att.net>]
Sent: Wednesday, January 28, 2015 8:08 AM
To: Doug Richardson
Subject: EID meeting

Mr. Richardson;

As I go through life, one of my largest motivators is the availability to learn; I strive to learn new things every day.

Yesterday, was a learning experience for me; I thank you for allowing that opportunity; hopefully, I wasn't too disruptive!

As a question, I would like this information to be confirmed: Did I understand correctly that 2014-108, as emended, will be back in front of the EID committee on Feb. 10 to develop the council recommendation that essentially leads to passage of the (complete) Airport Rules and Regulations, dated January 2015, and this is to be done prior to a Feb. 24 work session to discuss the gate issue, even though the gates / security is so interwoven into the AR&R's?

Regards,

Michael Thompson

Mr. Richardson's response

Mr. Thompson:

Thank you for attending the committee meeting. The Council is always interested in hearing the perspectives of community members and business owners, especially those that may be affected by the legislative decisions that the Council makes. Understanding these perspectives helps us to make better decisions.

Your understanding of the schedule is essentially correct. Proposal No. 2014-108 was continued to the next regularly scheduled committee meeting on February 10th. The committee will continue its review of the proposal on that date, consider any further amendments, and then make a recommendation. Alternately, the committee could also elect to continue the proposal further. No final hearing date before the full Council has been scheduled yet, but it is likely that the final hearing would be sometime in March.

The study session on airport security and access is currently planned for February 24th. This date was chosen in order to accommodate the mailing of a survey to the tenants at the airports. This survey will ask tenants their opinions about a variety of topics, including airport security and access. We expect the

survey results to be back prior to the February 24th meeting. I recognize the concerns that have been expressed by you and some other business owners regarding the impact of the access policy change. Please understand that this access policy change was made by the Airport Administrator (who reports to the Pierce County Executive) under the authority currently granted by Pierce County Code. The Council has heard differing perspectives regarding the policy change (both pro and con), and the survey will help the Council to better gauge the situation and to determine if any legislative actions by the Council are needed.

Lastly, thank you for pointing out that the copy of the AR&R attached to the amendment memo showed only odd pages. Our post meeting review revealed that it was a photocopying error. The AR&R attached as Exhibit B to the original of the amendment memo was double-sided and contained the complete AR&R. Unfortunately, when it was photocopied for the public immediately prior to the meeting, the copies were made single-sided only resulting in the missing pages. The Councilmember packets, however, contained the complete June 2014 version of the AR&R. From your comments at the meeting, I also understand Council staff sent you a copy of the AR&R prior to the meeting but that you noted some differences (other than the missing pages) at the meeting. I have asked Council staff to send you an electronic copy. As Council staff explained at the meeting, the only differences between the new version and the version you previously received are the change in the date on the cover and the text changes to AR&R associated with the change in the AR&R approval process in Section II. Nonetheless, I have instructed staff to conduct another review of the document to verify no other changes from the June 2014 version are present.

If you have any further questions, please do not hesitate to contact me.

Sincerely,

Doug Richardson